



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,028	06/22/2005	Etsuko Kadokawa	Q73675	8868
23373	7590	03/06/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			MULCAHY, PETER D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		1796	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/06/2008	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/540,028	KADOWAKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1796

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter D. Mulcahy. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Kramer. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Samples of peroxide cured and uncured cis-1,4-polybutadiene and 1,2-polybutadiene showing that the bending strength of the cured claimed 1,2-polybutadiene is higher than the cured cis-1,4-polybutadiene.

Claim(s) discussed: All Pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,436,567.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants pointed out the property differences between the cured products and alleged that the art suggested the use of rubber so as to improve impact strength. This would lead one of ordinary skill to select the cis-1,4 polybutadiene which is outside the scope of the claims as presented. This will be considered when filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.